**IP3 Group Project Marking 2020-21**

**Group Number:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **GROUP REPORT MARKING** |  | **Mark** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Introductory aspects of the report (12 marks)** |  |  |  |
| Quality and clarity of the *Executive Summary* | 2 |  |  |
| Quality and clarity of Project *Introduction* | 2 |  |  |
| Quality and clarity of IP3-concept overview | 2 |  |  |
| *Project Overview*. A clear overview of the what you will deliver within the project. This is a high-level overview of the project concept and a list of deliverables. | 6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Project Organisation (4 marks)** |  |  |  |
| The roles are 1. defined and 2. allocated to group members. | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Design & Development Tools (8 marks)** |  |  |  |
| The Project Initiation Document contains a clear description of the design tools used in the project, including justifications.  There is a clear description of the development tools used in the project, including justifications.  Note: this section will be marked flexibly, since different projects will have different toolsets used. | 8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **HCI Approaches for Deliverables (10 marks)** |  |  |  |
| There is clear coverage (with justification) of a range of general HCI aspects that have been applied to the design of any deliverables. | 6 |  |  |
| A useful set of wireframes is provided in an appendix | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Artefact Creation – Design aspects, Development aspects or both (30 marks)** |  |  |  |
| There is a clear **description** of the technologies used to create the artefact(s). | 5 |  |  |
| There is a clear **justification** for the use of the technologies used to deliver the artefact(s). | 5 |  |  |
| There is a clear review of the creation process of the artefact(s) . This can cover aspects of design and development in any mixture, depending on the project-type. This can also include testing, if that is part of the development process. | 20 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Review of Final Deliverable (10 Marks)** |  |  |  |
| A clear and balanced review of completed artefact(s) is provided, with supporting evidence. | 10 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Conclusions (6 marks)** |  |  |  |
| The project process is clearly reviewed. | 2 |  |  |
| There is clear reflection/insight on what would be done differently if project undertaken again. | 2 |  |  |
| There are three useful items of advice to future students. | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Presentation of the report (20 marks)** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Structure and organisation of the report contents, including an automatically generated contents page (updated after you have completed all edits). Includes use of appropriately labelled subsections to organise each chapter | 5 |  |  |
| How the report looks and its readability: Presentation, layout, grammar, spelling, readability, etc. | 5 |  |  |
| Appropriate use of appendices, including references to materials the appendices. | 3 |  |  |
| Sources of external material properly identified and referenced. | 4 |  |  |
| Appropriate labelling and annotation of diagrams, tables and other illustrations. A table of figures is provided at the start of the report (updated after you have completed all edits). | 3 |  |  |
| GROUP REPORT MARK | 100 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **ARTEFACT MARKING** |  | **Mark** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| The marking of the artefact(s) will be flexible and will be based on the actual mix of deliverables. These might include design-related deliverables or architectural & implementation deliverables or business-related deliverables as narrative within the report.  The marking will not be based on any preconception of what should be delivered. Each project will be assessed on its own merit. Marking will take key aspects into consideration, principally the clarity and quality of the deliverables. | 100 |  |  |
| APPLICATION MARK | 100 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 200 |  |  |
| PERCENTAGE |  | **%** |  |